

### <u>IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE</u>

762/ Chr

Inventors

: Blankenship, Phillip B., et al.

Serial No.

09/893,314

Filing Date

: June 27, 2001

Title

METHOD FOR SELECTING AN ASPHALT

MIXTURE FOR MAKING AN INTERLAYER AND

METHOD OF MAKING AN INTERLAYER

Group/Art Unit

1762

Examiner

Eric B. Fuller

Confirmation No.

2106

Atty. Docket No.

506422.0047

# SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 and § 1.97(c), the information listed below and on the attached Form PTO/SB/08A (Substitute for Form 1449-A-B/PTO) is being brought to the attention of the Examiner for consideration in connection with the examination of the above-identified patent application. Submission of this information is not an admission that the information constitutes prior art.

10/04/2004 ZJUHAR1 00000017 09893314

01 FC:1806

180.00 OP

#### Certificate of Mailing Under 37 C.F.R. 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on:

Date: September 28 201

Printed Name: JESSICA V. BARNARD

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any additional amount required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-4409.

#### **INFORMATION**

Applicants wish to advise the Examiner that an interlayer was constructed and placed on a roadway near San Jose, Illinois in 1998. This interlayer had a flexural beam fatigue of 26,138 cycles at 2000 microstrain, 15°C, and 10 Hz and a Hveem stability of 18.4 at 60°C and 50 gyrations. These properties of the interlayer were measured after the interlayer was placed on the roadway. Applicants received money for placing this interlayer.

Applicants wish to advise the Examiner that an interlayer was constructed and placed on a roadway near St. Joseph, Missouri in 1998. This interlayer had a flexural beam fatigue of 66,932 cycles at 2000 microstrain, 15°C, and 10 Hz and a Hveem stability of 18.1 at 60°C and 50 gyrations. These properties of the interlayer were measured after the interlayer was placed on the roadway. Applicants received money for placing this interlayer.

Applicants wish to advise the Examiner that another interlayer was constructed and placed on a roadway near San Jose, Illinois in 1998. This interlayer had a flexural beam fatigue of 138,775 cycles at 2000 microstrain, 15°C, and 10 Hz and a Hveem stability of 15.5 at 60°C and 50 gyrations. These properties of the interlayer were measured after the interlayer was placed on the roadway. Applicants received money for placing this interlayer.

Applicants wish to advise the Examiner that an interlayer was constructed and placed on a roadway in Orange, Texas in 1999. This project included Section 1 and Section 2. Section 1 interlayer had a flexural beam fatigue of 894,786 cycles at 2000 microstrain, 20°C, and 10 Hz and a Hveem stability of 14.1 at 60°C and 50 gyrations. These properties of the interlayer were measured after the interlayer was placed on the roadway. Applicant received money for placing this interlayer. Section 2 interlayer had a flexural beam fatigue of 672,381 cycles at 2000 microstrain, 20°C, and 10 Hz and a Hveem stability of 16.4 at 60°C and 50 gyrations. These properties of the interlayer were measured after the interlayer was placed on the roadway. Applicants received money for placing this interlayer.

Applicants respectfully request that this information be made of record in the above-identified application and considered by the Examiner during prosecution of the application.

It is respectfully submitted that the present invention as claimed is patentable over the listed information.

This information disclosure statement is being filed after the mailing of a first Office Action on the merits, but before the mailing date of any of a Final Office Action under § 1.113, a Notice of Allowance under § 1.311, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.

Enclosed is a check in the amount of \$180.00 to cover the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p).

Acknowledgment of receipt is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Susan Wharton Bell, Reg. No. 41,524 STINSON MORRISON HECKER LLP

1201 Walnut, Suite 2800

Kansas City, MO 64106-2150

Telephone: (816) 842-8600 Facsimile: (816) 691-3495

OT 0 1 2004

Sheet

PTO/SB/08A (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for Form 1449A/PTO

### INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

of 2

Complete if Known				
Application Number:	09/893,314			
Filing Date:	June 27, 2001			
First Named Inventor:	Blankenship et al.			
Group Art Unit:	1762			
Examiner Name:	Eric B. Fuller			
Attorney Docket Number	506422 0047			

U. S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
Examiner Cite		U.S. Patent Document		Name of Patentee or Applicant	Date of Publication of	Pages, Columns, Lines Where Relevant	
Initials*	No.1	s*   No 1	Number	Kind Code <sup>2</sup> (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
		<del></del>					
	-				<u> </u>		
			<del></del>				
			<del></del>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				<del></del>			
		<del></del> .					
					-		
						<del></del>	

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS									
	0	Foreign Patent Document				Date of Publication of	Pages, Columns, Lines,	Т		
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Office <sup>3</sup>	Number <sup>4</sup>	Kind Code <sup>5</sup> (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T 6		
	ļ							_		
		<del></del>						╀		
		<del>                                     </del>				<del>-</del>		╁		
								十		
								İ.		
								$\perp$		
								$\downarrow$		

Examiner		Date	
	<u> </u>		
Signature		Considered	

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unique citation designation number. <sup>2</sup> See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. <sup>3</sup> Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). <sup>4</sup> For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. <sup>5</sup>Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. <sup>6</sup> Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

OT 0 1 2004 E

Sheet

PTO/SB/08B (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for Form 1449B/PTO (Modified)

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

 Complete if Known

 Application Number:
 09/893,314

 Filing Date:
 June 27, 2001

 First Named Inventor:
 Blankenship et al.

 Group Art Unit:
 1762

 Examiner Name:
 Eric B. Fuller

 Attorney Docket Number:
 506422.0047

OTHER REFERENCES – NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION						
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	T2			
		An interlayer was constructed and placed on a roadway near San Jose, Illinois in 1998. This interlayer had a flexural beam fatigue of 26,138 cycles at 2000 microstrain, 15°C, and 10 Hz and a Hveem stability of 18.4 at 60°C and 50 gyrations. These properties of the interlayer were measured after the interlayer was placed on the roadway. Applicants received money for placing this interlayer.				
		An interlayer was constructed and placed on a roadway near St. Joseph, Missouri in 1998. This interlayer had a flexural beam fatigue of 66,932 cycles at 2000 microstrain, 15°C, and 10 Hz and a Hveem stability of 18.1 at 60°C and 50 gyrations. These properties of the interlayer were measured after the interlayer was placed on the roadway. Applicants received money for placing this interlayer.				
		Another interlayer was constructed and placed on a roadway near San Jose, Illinois in 1998. This interlayer had a flexural beam fatigue of 138,775 cycles at 2000 microstrain, 15°C, and 10 Hz and a Hveem stability of 15.5 at 60°C and 50 gyrations. These properties of the interlayer were measured after the interlayer was placed on the roadway. Applicants received money for placing this interlayer.				
		An interlayer was constructed and placed on a roadway in Orange, Texas in 1999. This project included Section 1 and Section 2. Section 1 interlayer had a flexural beam fatigue of 894,786 cycles at 2000 microstrain, 20°C, and 10 Hz and a Hveem stability of 14.1 at 60°C and 50 gyrations. These properties of the interlayer were measured after the interlayer was placed on the roadway. Applicant received money for placing this interlayer. Section 2 interlayer had a flexural beam fatigue of 672,381 cycles at 2000 microstrain, 20°C, and 10 Hz and a Hveem stability of 16.4 at 60°C and 50 gyrations. These properties of the interlayer were measured after the interlayer was placed on the roadway. Applicants received money for placing this interlayer.				

Examiner	Date	
Signature	Considered	
	<del></del>	<del>'</del>

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unique citation designation number. <sup>2</sup>Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.